

Igniting Entrepreneurial Ventures in the Humanities and Social Sciences

a cura di

JOHANNA MONTI, LUIGI AMODIO, ANDREA D'ANDREA,
GIUSEPPE LUCIO GAETA, FABIANA SCIARELLI, CARLA NOTARO

PAOLO 
LOFFREDO



UNIVERSITÀ DI NAPOLI
L'ORIENTALE



Ministero delle Imprese
e del Made in Italy

FSC

Fondo per lo Sviluppo
e la Coesione



DISCLAIMER

This publication was carried out in the framework of the CTE - Casa delle Tecnologie Emergenti di Napoli “Infiniti Mondì: Napoli Innovation City” project, which has received funding from the Ministry of Enterprises and Made in Italy (CUP B67F23000000008).

Proprietà letteraria riservata

Impaginazione: Graphic Olisterno - Portici (Napoli)

Stampa: Grafica Elettronica srl - Napoli

In copertina:

«Humanities in the Innovation Ecosystem» di Mariano Cinque, direttore tecnico del Torcoliere, Università di Napoli L'Orientale.

ISBN 979-12-82421-10-2

**PAOLO
LOFFREDO**

© 2026 by Paolo Loffredo Editore srl

80128 Napoli, via Ugo Palermo, 6 - paololoffredoeditore@gmail.com 

www.loffredoeditore.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>List of Figures</i>	p.	9
<i>List of Tables</i>	»	11
<i>Introduction</i>	»	13

PART I

SYSTEMIC TRANSFORMATIONS: AI, DATA SOCIETY, AND INSTITUTIONAL STRATEGIES FOR ACADEMIC ENTREPRENEURSHIP

1 AI, Cognition, and Entrepreneurship: Reconfiguring Capability Formation and Innovation in Humanities and Social Sciences	»	21
1.1 Introduction.	»	21
1.2 Theoretical Background and Literature Review.	»	25
1.2.1 AI, Higher Education, and HSS Disciplines	»	25
1.2.2 Entrepreneurship Theory in the Digital Era	»	26
1.2.3 AI-Mediated Learning and the Erosion of Epistemic Depth	»	26
1.2.4 Early-Career Substitution and the Labour-Market Bottleneck ...	»	27
1.2.5 Gaps in the Literature: HSS Entrepreneurship in the Age of AI ..	»	27
1.3 Methodological approach.	»	29
1.3.1 Rationale for a Conceptual Synthesis Approach	»	29
1.3.2 Sources and Inclusion Logic	»	29
1.3.3 Analytical Strategy	»	30
1.3.4 Scope and Limitations	»	31
1.3.5 Methodological Contribution.	»	31
1.4 AI's Impact on Venture Creation and Innovation Ecosystems.	»	32
1.4.1 The Ignition Effect: Democratizing Entrepreneurial Agency.	»	32
1.4.2 Structural Risk: Innovation Dilution and The Zero-Cost Paradox	»	34
1.5 Shadow AI Adoption and Organizational Friction	»	35
1.5.1 The Emergence of Shadow AI.	»	35
1.5.2 Cultural and Cognitive Stress in AI Integration	»	36
1.5.3 Power, Knowledge, and Governance Gaps	»	36
1.5.4 HSS Graduates as Translators and Mediators	»	37
1.5.5 Toward Responsible Organizational Adoption	»	38
1.6 Cognitive Transformations, Capability Formation, and Innovation Quality.	»	38

1.6.1 AI-Mediated Learning and Cognitive Shifts	p.	39
1.6.2 Epistemic Compression and the Loss of Interpretive Depth	»	39
1.6.3 Capability Formation and the Early-Career Development Bottleneck	»	40
1.6.4 Consequences for Innovation Quality and Entrepreneurial Resilience	»	41
1.6.5 Integrating Cognitive, Epistemic, and Structural Dynamics	»	41
1.7 Discussion and Implications.	»	42
1.7.1 Synthesis: Three Interlocking Transformations	»	42
1.7.2 Educational Implications: Toward Deep AI Literacy	»	42
1.7.3 Entrepreneurial and Organizational Implications	»	43
1.7.4 Policy Implications: Preserving Human Capital Formation.	»	44
1.7.5 Research Directions	»	44
1.8 Conclusion.	»	44
<i>References</i>	»	46
2 Società dei dati e archeologia artificiale	»	49
2.1 Introduzione	»	49
2.2 Dai dati come petrolio ai dati come suolo e un quarto paradigma	»	51
2.3 Condivisione dei dati (Data-sharing)	»	54
2.4 Dati ereditati (Legacy Data)	»	56
2.5 Repliche 3D (3D Replica)	»	59
2.6 Conclusioni	»	62
<i>Bibliografia.</i>	»	63
3 Academic Entrepreneurship in the Strategic Plans of Italian Universities: An Exploratory Analysis	»	65
3.1 Introduction.	»	65
3.2 Background	»	66
3.3 Data	»	68
3.4 Methodology	»	69
3.5 Results	»	70
3.6 Conclusion.	»	73
<i>References</i>	»	74

PART II

FROM STRATEGY TO ACTION: SPIN-OFFS, TRAINING,
AND ENTREPRENEURIAL PRACTICES IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES

4	Institutional Models Supporting Academic Spin-offs in the Humanities and Social Sciences: Evidence from a Large and Comprehensive University	p.	79
4.1	Introduction: Academic Spin-offs and the Role of Universities in the Humanities and Social Sciences	»	79
4.2	Conceptual Framework: Third Mission, Knowledge Valorisation, and HASS entrepreneurship	»	81
4.3	Institutional Models, Support Infrastructures and Evaluation Frameworks for HASS Spin-offs.	»	82
4.4	The University of Bologna as an Empirical Case Study of Integrated Support for HASS Spin-offs	»	83
4.5	Discussion and Conclusions: Implications for Universities, Policymakers and Future Research.	»	88
	<i>References</i>	»	90
5	Sinergie pubblico-private per l'innovazione e le Industrie Culturali e Creative <i>Bibliografia</i>	»	93
		»	99
6	Academic Entrepreneurship at Ca' Foscari University Venice and the case of the spin-off company VEASYT	»	101
6.1	Introduction: Ca' Foscari University Venice	»	101
6.2	Research at Ca' Foscari	»	102
6.3	Knowledge Transfer at Ca' Foscari	»	103
6.4	Entrepreneurship at Ca' Foscari	»	104
6.5	The spin-off VEASYT: a success story.	»	106
6.5.1	Milestones	»	107
6.5.2	Services.	»	107
6.5.3	Research	»	110
6.5.4	Recognitions.	»	111
6.6	Conclusions	»	111
	<i>References</i>	»	112
7	Intenzioni e attività imprenditoriali degli studenti universitari di scienze sociali e scienze umane: indagine sugli studenti e le studentesse dell'Università di Napoli L'Orientale.	»	115
7.1	Introduzione	»	115
7.2	Imprenditorialità e l'educazione all'imprenditorialità: la cornice concettuale	»	116

7.3	Metodologia ed obiettivi della ricerca empirica.	p.	120
7.4	Analisi dei risultati	»	122
7.4.1	L'imprenditorialità: attitudine e conoscenze	»	122
7.4.2	L'educazione imprenditoriale	»	127
7.5	Implicazioni e conclusioni	»	129
	<i>Bibliografia.</i>	»	131
8	“From thought to action”. GAIA - AI Ethics & Governance: Spin-off of the University of Macerata.	»	133
8.1	Context and Mission	»	133
8.2	Tools and services.	»	135
8.2.1	Algorithmic platform	»	135
8.2.2	Bias detection	»	137
8.2.3	Multidimensional explainability framework	»	137
8.2.4	AI Ethics & Governance.	»	138
8.3	Methodology	»	139
8.4	Conclusions	»	139
	<i>References</i>	»	140
9	Innovation Pathways in the EdTech Ecosystem: The GRETA Spin-Off Experience.	»	143
9.1	The EdTech Ecosystem: Emerging Trends and Challenges	»	143
9.2	University Spin-Offs and Technology Transfer in Education.	»	144
9.3	The GRETA case study: origins, vision and mission	»	145
9.3.1	Market and Social Impact: Dimensions and Trends	»	147
9.3.2	Competitive positioning.	»	147
9.3.3	Market Relations and Revenue	»	147
9.3.4	Tools.	»	148
9.3.5	Methodology	»	148
9.3.6	Iterative prototyping.	»	149
9.4	Results and Discussion	»	149
9.5	Conclusions	»	150
	<i>References</i>	»	151
10	Entrepreneurship in the Humanities: Insights from a University Spin-off Experience.	»	153
10.1	Introduction	»	153
10.2	Dahlia: the first Spin-off of the University of Naples “L'Orientale”	»	155
10.3	Innovation and Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Engagement.	»	156
10.3.1	Institutional Support and Collaboration	»	156
10.3.2	Incubator Programs	»	157

10.3.3 Fairs and Events	p.	158
10.3.4 Conferences	»	158
10.4 Early-Phase Demonstrators and Prototypes	»	158
10.5 From Prototype to Market: Challenges and Risks	»	161
10.6 Addressing Ethical and Societal Impact	»	162
References	»	163
Appendix: Programme of the International Conference “Igniting Entrepreneurial Ventures in the Humanities and Social Sciences”, Naples, 16-17 June 2025, Palazzo Mediterraneo	»	165
Notes on the Contributors	»	167

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The AI-Driven HSS Entrepreneurship Paradox: Democratization vs. Dilution	p.	33
Figure 2. Shadow AI as a socio-technical friction system. Informal AI use expands employee agency but introduces governance gaps, cultural stress, literacy asymmetries, and under-recognized translator roles, resulting in organizational fragility	»	37
Figure 3. The cognitive-epistemic-capability pipeline. AI reshapes cognitive processes, compresses epistemic practices, weakens capability formation, and lowers the depth of innovation in HSS-driven entrepreneurial ecosystems. . .	»	40
Figure 4. Factorial plane of the Correspondence Analysis of texts extracted from the strategic plans of Italian universities. MEGA=mega universities; GRANDI=large universities; MEDI= medium-size universities; PICCOLI= small universities.	»	72
Figure 5. Spinoff and startup process at the University of Bologna (adapted from Conti and Grimaldi 2024).	»	87
Figure 6. Ripartizione questionari per corsi di laurea	»	121
Figure 7. Valutazione dell'importanza delle caratteristiche imprenditoriali.	»	123
Figure 8. Valutazione delle motivazioni per l'avvio di un'attività imprenditoriale	»	123
Figure 9. Valutazione dell'importanza dei fattori che influenzano la scelta di avviare un'impresa	»	124
Figure 10. Valutazione dell'importanza delle competenze per l'avvio di un'attività imprenditoriale	»	125
Figure 11. Grado di preoccupazione per gli aspetti dell'avvio di un'attività imprenditoriale	»	125
Figure 12. Valutazione del ruolo dell'Università nella formazione delle competenze imprenditoriali	»	127
Figure 13. Ramificazione delle aree di intervento individuate	»	130

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Fattori interni che influenzano l'orientamento imprenditoriale	p.	117
Table 2. Preoccupazioni aggiuntive sull'avvio di un'attività imprenditoriale.	»	126
Table 3. Azioni aggiuntive ritenute utili per sostenere la formazione	»	128

INTRODUCTION

The role of universities within contemporary knowledge economies is undergoing a profound transformation, though the extent and visibility of these changes vary across countries and, within the same national systems, across regional contexts and universities.

While a first and highly visible dimension of this transformation concerns the growing managerialization, e.g. the increasing attention devoted to governance practices, performance indicators, and systems of evaluation (Boitier and Rivière, 2016; Lorenz, 2012), important changes have affected the core academic activities. Training and research, for example, are both increasingly transformed by the new challenges and opportunities arising from digitalization and AI, which are reshaping labour market focus on skills and competences (Buchmann and Buchs, 2025), and re-defining knowledge production, dissemination, and learning processes (Yu, 2025; Grossman et al., 2023; Salvagno et al., 2023). Alongside this, a relevant transformation concerns the growing recognition of what is commonly referred to as the “third mission”: universities are increasingly expected to engage with society and to contribute actively to the social, cultural, and economic development of the communities and territories in which they operate (Compagnucci and Spigarelli, 2020; Rubens et al. 2017). On the one hand, this “new” mission involves engagement in a structured set of non-commercial activities aimed at fostering the broad societal dissemination and use of knowledge, thereby generating social and cultural value. Examples include the organization of public cultural events, science communication initiatives targeted at non-academic audiences, dissemination through digital channels such as blogs and social media, initiatives that actively involve citizens in research and innovation processes (e.g., citizen science projects), and engagement with schools through educational and laboratory activities. On the other hand, the third mission also encompasses initiatives aimed at the economic valorisation of knowledge produced within academia. This may occur through knowledge transfer mechanisms, such as technology transfer offices, incubators, and science parks, through collaborations with firms, or through the direct involvement of academic staff and students in entrepreneurial initiatives. These latter entrepreneurial activities may derive from supporting the protection and exploitation of intellectual or industrial property (e.g., patents), as well as from the promotion of the entrepreneurial culture within universities, and from the carrying out policies aimed at promoting instruments such as spin-offs, start-ups, or entrepreneurship-oriented programs (e.g., contamination

labs). These developments are consistent with the growing literature on the entrepreneurial university and academic entrepreneurship, which highlights the importance of an increasing role of universities in knowledge commercialization and innovation ecosystems (Etzkowitz, 2003; Siegel et al., 2007; Siegel & Wright, 2015).

Both the academic literature and practical experience suggest that the relationship between academia and the third mission, particularly with respect to its entrepreneurial dimension, is highly dependent on disciplinary background, and that the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) in particular face several challenges in engaging with these activities. Several factors help may explain these difficulties. Among these, two stand out. First, many of the policy instruments supporting academic entrepreneurship have historically been designed with the logic of the natural and technological sciences in mind, focusing on mechanisms, such as patents, technology transfer offices which tend to fit more naturally with STEM research outputs (Cunningham et al., 2024; Spigarelli et al., 2024). Second, cultural factors play a role and SSH scholars have traditionally displayed a certain degree of skepticism toward entrepreneurial activities, because they perceive them as contradictory with respect to the intellectual and public-oriented academic missions of these disciplines (Cunningham et al., 2024).

Nevertheless, the interaction between the SSH and entrepreneurial activities can also generate significant opportunities for innovation and societal impact. SSH ease understanding social and cultural contexts which is essential to estimate market opportunities for entrepreneurial ideas and allow developing critical knowledge and interpretative capacities that are particularly useful to support entrepreneurial decisions that by definition face uncertainty and ambiguity; they stimulate imagination and creativity which are crucial to achieve non-technological innovation, bring communication and networking competences which are crucial for entrepreneurial activities (European Commission, 2007; OECD, 2017. Illustrative examples of interactions between the SSH and entrepreneurial activities, as well as entrepreneurship-oriented educational initiatives, are discussed, for example, in Ebbesen (2008) and Josa and Aguado (2021). Furthermore, digital technologies amplify the potential contribution of the SSH by enabling the creation of new business models based on cultural and knowledge-intensive content. In this respect, the growing field of digital humanities – where information and communication technologies intersect with traditional humanities disciplines, from archaeology and philology to language studies, history, and the visual and performing arts – opens significant opportunities for entrepreneurial initiatives. By transforming cultural knowledge into digital resources, platforms, and services, digital humanities create new possibilities for innovation, commercialization, and societal impact.

Against this backdrop, it is not surprising that the interaction between SSH and entrepreneurship is becoming an increasingly dynamic and evolving field. With the

aim of capturing and reflecting on this emerging dynamism, the University of Naples L'Orientale and the Neapolitan digital innovation hub and business accelerator Spici Srl organized the international conference Igniting Entrepreneurial Ventures in the Humanities and Social Sciences, held in Naples in June 2025 and carried out in the framework of the CTE - Casa delle Tecnologie Emergenti di Napoli "Infiniti Mondi: Napoli Innovation City" project, which has received funding from the Ministry of Enterprises and Made in Italy (CUP B67F23000000008). The event was conceived as a space for dialogue and exchange among academics, students, researchers, and policymakers, with the objective of exploring the intersections between SSH and entrepreneurship and highlighting their strategic relevance.

The conference, whose detailed program is reported in the appendix, brought together 35 speakers representing eight Italian universities and five international institutions among the most active internationally in research and practice on these themes. This volume collects a selection of contributions presented during the event, organized into two parts.

Part I examines the systemic transformations reshaping the conditions for academic entrepreneurship in the SSH. In the first contribution of this section, Vitaliy Charushin and Yann Gozlan analyse how artificial intelligence is reconfiguring cognitive processes, capability formation, and innovation dynamics within HSS disciplines. In the second contribution, Andrea D'Andrea explores the implications of the data society through the case of digital archaeology, highlighting how data infrastructures, legacy data, and digital replication technologies are transforming knowledge production. Finally, in the Third contribution, Mariavelia Soave, Carla Notaro e Giuseppe Lucio Gaeta focus on the institutional level by analysing how Italian universities incorporate academic entrepreneurship within their strategic plans, providing an exploratory overview of emerging governance approaches and policy orientations.

Part II shifts to the analyses of organizational and micro-level dynamics of academic entrepreneurship in SSH by providing Italian and international case studies. The fourth contribution, by Alessandro Grandi, examines the University of Bologna institutional model to support entrepreneurship; in contribution five Luigi Amodio illustrates the promotion of public-private synergies for innovation and cultural industries carried out by Spici srl. The sixth Contribution, by Sara Alba and Anna Cardinaletti, presents the experience of academic entrepreneurship at Ca' Foscari University Venice through the case of the VEASYT spin-off, illustrating pathways of knowledge transfer and social innovation. In chapter Seven Chiara Frenda and Alessandra De Chiara investigate entrepreneurial intentions and activities among SSH students at the University of Naples L'Orientale, providing useful empirical evidence for the design of entrepreneurial education. The eight contribution, by Benedetta Giovanola, Emanuele Frontoni and Benedetta Petroselli describes the GAIA spin-off

of the University of Macerata, focusing on the development of tools and services for AI ethics, governance, and algorithmic accountability. In chapter nine, Francesca Marone analyses innovation pathways in the EdTech ecosystem through the GRETA spin-off experience, highlighting the interaction between educational technology, market positioning, and university-based innovation. Finally, in chapter 10, Maria Pia Di Buono, Giulia Speranza and Raffaele Manna illustrate the challenges they faced for developing Dahlia, a SSH-based spin-off from the University of Naples L'Orientale.

Taken together, these contributions provide a rich and multifaceted picture of the evolving landscape of academic entrepreneurship in the SSH, highlighting both the diversity of institutional approaches and the emerging practices through which knowledge produced in SSH disciplines is translated into innovation and societal impact, pointing to a field that still requires further theoretical development and systematic empirical investigation.

Johanna Monti

UniOR Scientific Coordinator of the CTE - Casa delle Tecnologie Emergenti di
Napoli "Infiniti Mondi: Napoli Innovation City" project

Luigi Amodio
Spici srl

Andrea D'Andrea
Università di Napoli L'Orientale

Giuseppe Lucio Gaeta
Università di Napoli L'Orientale

Fabiana Sciarelli
Università di Napoli L'Orientale

Carla Notaro
Università di Napoli L'Orientale

References

- Boitier, M., & Rivière, A. (2016). Changing professions? The professionalization of management in universities. In *Multi-Level Governance in Universities: Strategy, Structure, Control* (pp. 95-113). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

- Buchmann, M., & Buchs, H. (2025). Trends in skill demand and labor market outcomes: the role of digitalization. *Handbook on Education and the Labour Market*, 165-182.
- Compagnucci, L., & Spigarelli, F. (2020). The Third Mission of the university: A systematic literature review on potentials and constraints. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 161, 120284.
- Cunningham, J. A., Miller, K., & Perea-Vicente, J. L. (2024). Academic entrepreneurship in the humanities and social sciences: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 49(5), 1880-1913.
- Ebbesen, M. (2008). The role of the humanities and social sciences in nanotechnology research and development. *NanoEthics*, 2(1), 1-13.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2003). Research groups as 'quasi-firms': the invention of the entrepreneurial university. *Research policy*, 32(1), 109-121.
- European Commission (2007). Report of the expert group on humanities. In: Positioning Humanities Research in the 7th Framework Programme, Directorate-General for Research. Available at: https://www.cdti.es/sites/default/files/documents/news/2585_2442442007101937.pdf
- Grossmann, I., Feinberg, M., Parker, D. C., Christakis, N. A., Tetlock, P. E., & Cunningham, W. A. (2023). AI and the transformation of social science research. *Science*, 380(6650), 1108-1109.
- Josa, I., & Aguado, A. (2021). Social sciences and humanities in the education of civil engineers: Current status and proposal of guidelines. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 311, 127489.
- Lorenz, C. (2012). If you're so smart, why are you under surveillance? Universities, neoliberalism, and new public management. *Critical inquiry*, 38(3), 599-629.
- OECD. (2017). *What role for the social sciences in innovation?* Paris: OECD Publishing. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2017/11/what-role-for-social-sciences-in-innovation_92aa744c/8a306011-en.pdf
- Rubens, A., Spigarelli, F., Cavicchi, A., & Rinaldi, C. (2017). Universities' third mission and the entrepreneurial university and the challenges they bring to higher education institutions. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 11(03), 354-372.
- Salvagno, M., Taccone, F. S., & Gerli, A. G. (2023). Can artificial intelligence help for scientific writing? *Critical care*, 27(1), 75.
- Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2015). Academic entrepreneurship: time for a rethink? *British journal of management*, 26(4), 582-595.
- Siegel, D. S., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2007). The rise of entrepreneurial activity at universities: organizational and societal implications. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(4), 489-504.
- Spigarelli, F., Kempton, L., & Compagnucci, L. (2024). Introduction to Entrepreneurship and Digital Humanities. In *Entrepreneurship and Digital Humanities* (pp. 1-10). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Yu, Z. (2025). AI for science: A comprehensive review on innovations, challenges, and future directions. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence for Science (IJAI4S)*, 1(1).